COURTS IN YUMA COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2016-2018 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Improve case processing using workflow, performance measures, and automated ticklers; continue to digitize entire court environment including court reporter notes and microfilm/fiche.
- Increase qualified interpreter services and public information sources for family law.
- Maintain business continuity by ensuring essential court services continue during extraordinary circumstances; replicate key systems offsite and use cloud storage.
- Relocate Justice Court #1; establish new superior court division.
- Make better use of websites for public services and to communicate court information.
- Update and expand scope and use of courtroom wireless access as well as audio/video recording.
- Continue to address computing hardware and software falling out of support, especially recording infrastructure.

CY 2013/14 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Implemented technology to support new Mental Health Court; hired coordinator.
- Increased qualified interpreter services and collection of delinquent debts.

Architecture

Risk

- Added predictive dialer function to RevQ in Superior Court and Justice Courts.
- Continued multiyear audio/video recording software upgrade effort at superior court.
- Replaced Adult Probation PCs and laptops with AOC-standard devices; integrated GIS.
- Expanded use of courtroom docket display monitors into historic courthouse/justice courts.
- Replaced PCs and laptops at all courts, Juvenile, and Adult Probation with Windows 8.1 standard.

STATEWIDE PROJECTS: IMPACTS, CONCERNS, AND PARTICIPATION PLANS

LJ CMSNeed support for digital input processes already in place; Adult Prob

concern for accessibility of AJACS case info to officers; need data cleanup time; will be early to late adopter, depending on indiv LJ court.

JOLTSaz Adult Probation requests access to data for presentence reports;

adoption process is already underway.

LJ EDMS Concerns for cost, scanner capacity, and access to closed docs, but

anxious to get shelf space freed; generally early adopters.

e-Filing/Std Forms San Luis constructed local e-filing system using in-house labor; were

early adopters (of AZTurboCourt forms).

eBench Concern for size of monitors and number of open documents; unsure of

ancillary courtroom costs and judge training time; will be early adopters. Adult has prod data in MS-Access; projects exist to address Win2K and

WP X4 software in retirement status, not developing new apps locally.

Missing technical detail for LJ courts; added QuickBooks in Probation to

track payments; MS-Money used at Clerk's Office; OoVoo PC

videoconference vs. PolyCom in 2 LJs.

| TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Project | Year/ Status | Project Detail Provided | | | 0 | | |
| Project | | Full ¹ | Skeletal ² | Mention ³ | Comments | | |
| SC-2: OnBase Workflow, e- Documents, and HR Modules | FY17 | | Х | | Superior Court; OnBase | | |
| SC-3: CourTools | FY16 | | х | | Superior Court; AJACS | | |
| SC-4: Caseflow Reports IT Analyst | FY18 | | | х | Superior Court; new position | | |
| SC-5: Support Functions Relocation | FY18 | | Х | | Superior Court buildings | | |
| SC-6: Additional Superior Court Division | FY17 | | Х | | Superior Court | | |
| SC-8: Wireless Network Access | FY16 | | Х | | Justice Center/Historic Courthouse | | |
| SC-9: Local Server Virtualization | FY16 | | Х | | Superior Court; JP; Adult | | |
| SC-10: Servers Software Upgrade | FY16 | | Х | | Superior Court, JP, Adult | | |
| SC-12: Additional Court IT Staff | FY18 | | Х | | Superior Court & Yuma County Justice Courts | | |
| SC-14: Superior Court-Hosted Website | FY16 | | Х | | Superior Court | | |
| SC-15: Offsite Disaster Recovery | FY17 | | Х | | Superior Court & Yuma Justice Courts | | |
| SC-16: Court Reporter Notes Digitization | FY16 | | Х | | Superior Court | | |
| SC18: Virtual Remote Interpreter System Expansion | FY15 | | Х | | Superior Court, Yuma Justice Courts, Juvenile | | |
| SC-20: Financial Obligations Database | FY15 | | | Х | Superior Court 12/31/14 | | |

| | TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Project | Year/ | | ect Detail Pr | | Comments | | |
| 110,000 | Status | Full ¹ | Skeletal ² | Mention ³ | Comments | | |
| SC-21: Replace Software in Retirement Status | FY16 | | Х | | Superior Court (to meet EA Standards) | | |
| SC-AP-3: Mobile Electronic Devices for Probation Officers | FY17 | | Х | | Adult Probation | | |
| SC-JP-1: Court Website | FY19 | | Х | | San Luis Muni; Yuma Muni | | |
| SC-JP-2: Public Wi- Fi | FY19 | | Х | | San Luis Muni; Yuma Muni | | |
| SC-JP-3: e-Doc Purging | FY19 | | Х | | San Luis; Somerton | | |
| SC-JP-4: Remote Interpreter | FY19 | | Х | | Yuma; Somerton | | |
| <u>SC-JP-5</u> : Audio/Video Upgrades | FY19 | | Х | | Yuma; Somerton; incl dedicated line | | |
| SC-JP-6: Online Payment & Kiosk | FY19 | | Х | | Yuma; Somerton | | |
| SC-JP-7: e- Citations | FY19 | | Х | | Yuma; Somerton | | |
| SC-JP-8: e-Filing | FY17 | | Х | | Yuma; Somerton | | |
| SC-JP-9: Reduce Paper Storage | FY19 | | X | | Yuma Municipal Court | | |
| SC-JP-10: Time Standards | FY19 | | Х | | Yuma Municipal Court | | |

Note 1:

An "X" in "Full" indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology's Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2

An "X" in "Skeletal" indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

| Note 3: An "Y" in "Montion" indicates that the court montioned this project in a summon or listed it in an initiative. It may have |
|---|
| An "X" in "Mention" indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |